698. Q. Can you tell me she -- you used the word "angrily"?

A. That was actually inserted by Tim.

699. Q. Had Tim listened to the tape?

A. No, he hadn't.

700. Q. So he inserted the word "angrily"?

A. Yes.

701. Q. Even though he was not present at the interview?

A. Yes, that's correct.

702. Q. Nor had he listened to the tape?

A. That's correct.

703. Q. Did you tell him that she was angry at that stage?

A. No, I didn't tell him.

704. Q. Is it common to fabricate such states of mind in writing an article?

A. No.

705. Q. You acknowledge that it is a fabrication?

A. I'm not sure it is a fabrication. I'm not sure it is.

706. Q. Well, you didn't write it. Apparently you left it out of your initial draft.

A. Right.

707. Q. You didn't use the word "angrily" --

A. No.

708. Q. -- in your article?

A. No, I didn't.

709. Q. That was added by somebody who had not

been present?

A. That's true.

710. Q. So it is a fabrication?

A. Right.

711. Q. It is made up?

A. Mm-hmm.

712. Q. You have to answer yes or no?

A. Yes.

713. Q. Is it common to make things up in an article?

A. No, it's not.

331. Q. Do you know what was pruned?

A. Yes, I can remember one part.

332. Q. And what was that specifically?

A. That was her response to her cocaine habit.

333. Q. And specifically what had you written in that regard?

A. I had put that -- I would have to look at the transcript but it was something to the effect of, "But I never touch -- I never did that. Anyone who touches the white stuff in my organization gets fired" or "in our organization gets fired.

334. Q. So that disclaimer was initially in your draft article?

A. It was.

335. Q. And a conscious decision was made to remove that disclaimer?

A. Yes, there was.

336. Q. And did you arrive at that decision jointly with Mr. Rostron?

A. I was looking over his shoulder as he made the edit.

337. Q. Do you know why he specifically chose to delete that disclaimer? Do you agree with my characterization that it was a disclaimer?

A. I guess you could call it that, yes. It was her response to the rumours about cocaine use. Could you ask your question again?

338. Q. Is there a reason why what I would characterize as a disclaimer of that particular reference to cocaine was the part of the article that was deleted?

A. Yeah, probably for space and the other reason was it was unclear what she meant by her organization, whether it was her band or -- the line said,"Anyone who touches the white stuff in my organization gets fired" -- or "in our organization." And it was unclear what her organization was, whether it was her band or her record label or what it was, so I did not know what she was referring to and it was vague.

339. Q. Did you agree with the deletion of that particular part of the article?

A. Tim is a very experienced editor so I deferred to his greater experience.

340. Q. Did you object in any way?

A. I didn't object.